

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/786,059

Applicant(s)  
Pascale Motte et al.

Examiner  
Bret Chen

Art Unit  
1762

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bret Chen

(3) Rohitha Jayasuriya

(2) Tom Barnes

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview May 22, 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 19 and 24

Identification of prior art discussed:  
Batey, Freeman

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's representative discussed two issues: 1) the criticality of the third gas to tie up the excess Si as a contaminant 2) the use of a 3rd gas during deposition. The examiner notes the first issue. With respect to the second issue, it is noted that the prior art teaches the use of a third gas after the deposition. However, it is noted that presently there is no recitation in the claims requiring the use of the third gas simultaneously with the reactant gases. The examiner also suggests placing dependent claim 24 into 19 to potentially overcome art which merely cites the use of a carrier or inert gas. Upon a formal response to the office action, the examiner will consider withdrawing the present rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

BC  
BRET CHEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1762

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required